hep-th/9505006, RU-95-23

arXiv:hep-th/9505006v1 2 May 1995

Exact Superpotentials, Quantum Vacua and Duality in Supersymmetric $SP(N_c)$ Gauge Theories

K. Intriligator and P. Pouliot

Department of Physics and Astronomy
Rutgers University
Piscataway, NJ 08855-0849, USA

We study $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SP(N_c)$ gauge theories with N_f flavors of quarks in the fundamental representation. Depending on N_f and N_c, we find exact, dynamically generated superpotentials, smooth quantum moduli spaces of vacua, quantum moduli spaces of vacua with additional massless composites at strong coupling, confinement without chiral symmetry breaking, non-trivial fixed points of the renormalization group, and massless magnetic quarks and gluons.

4/95

Supersymmetric gauge theories have the special property that certain quantities are holomorphic and can often be obtained exactly [1]. See [2] for a recent review and [3-6] for earlier work. The exact results so obtained provide insight into the dynamics of strongly coupled gauge theories, revealing a variety of interesting phenomena. It is of interest to know which phenomena are specific to particular examples and which are generic. Because the particular details appear to be very specific to the choice of gauge group and matter content, it is important to consider many different examples.

We analyze $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SP(N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors of matter in the fundamental representation. The phenomena which we find are qualitatively similar to those found in $SU(N_c)$ with matter in the fundamental [7,8] and in $SO(N_c)$ theories with matter in the N_c [8,9]. In terms of the specific details, the $SP(N_c)$ theories actually provide the simplest examples of these phenomena. An underlying reason for the qualitative similarity is that these $N = 1$ theories all have dual descriptions [8], generalizing the Montonen-Olive, electric-magnetic duality [10] of $N = 4$ theories [11] and $N = 2$ theories [12] to $N = 1$ supersymmetric theories. As with the $N = 4$ and $N = 2$ duality, the $N = 1$ duality exchanges strong and weak coupling effects. However, in the $N = 1$ duality the original electric description and the dual magnetic description have different gauge groups and matter content. In addition, while the $N = 4$ and $N = 2$ duality are thought to be exact, $N = 1$ duality arises only in the far infrared. Nevertheless, duality is extremely powerful. In fact, all of the results which we obtain can be understood as consequences of the duality. The qualitative similarity of the $SU(N_c)$, $SO(N_c)$ and $SP(N_c)$ theories suggests that the strong coupling phenomena which have been found and, in particular, the underlying duality might be generic properties of $N = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theories. Perhaps they even reveal some general properties of non-supersymmetric, strongly coupled gauge theories.

A summary of our results is as follows: For $N_f \leq N_c$ we find dynamically generated superpotentials, associated with gaugino condensation for $N_f < N_c$ and instantons for $N_f = N_c$. For $N_f > N_c$ massless flavors there is a quantum moduli space of degenerate but physically inequivalent vacua. The $SP(N_c)$ gauge symmetry is broken by the Higgs mechanism in the bulk of this space of vacua. Classically there are singular submanifolds of vacua where some of the $SP(N_c)$ W bosons become massless. In the quantum theory we find that for $N_f = N_c + 1$ this moduli space of vacua is smooth, without additional massless fields anywhere. Instantons modify the space of vacua in this case, removing the classically singular submanifolds. For $N_f = N_c + 2$ the space of vacua is the same as the

classical one but there are additional massless mesons rather than W bosons on the singular submanifolds. At the origin of this space there is confinement without chiral symmetry breaking. For $3(N_c+1) > N_f \geq N_c+3$ there is a dual description in terms of a magnetic $SP(N_f-N_c-2)$ gauge theory [8], which we analyze in detail. For $N_f \leq \frac{3}{2}(N_c+1)$ the magnetic theory is free in the infrared while for $3(N_c+1) > N_f > \frac{3}{2}(N_c+1)$ the theory is in an interacting $SP(N_f-N_c-2)$ non-Abelian Coulomb phase. In the interacting range, the fixed point can also be described in terms of the original electric $SP(N_c)$ theory in a non-Abelian Coulomb phase. For $N_f \geq 3(N_c+1)$ the electric theory is not asymptotically free and is thus free in the infrared.

The unitary symplectic group $SP(N_c)$ is the subgroup of $SU(2N_c)$ which leaves invariant an antisymmetric tensor J^{cd}, which we can take to be $J = \mathbf{1}_{N_c} \otimes i\sigma_2$. The dimension of this group is $N_c(2N_c+1)$. We take for our matter content $2N_f$ fields Q_i, $i = 1 \ldots 2N_f$, in the fundamental $2N_c$ dimensional representation of $SP(N_c)$. (As explained in [13], the number of fundamentals must be even.) The classical lagrangian has a moduli space of degenerate SUSY vacua labeled by the expectation values $\langle Q \rangle$ subject to the "D-flatness" constraints. Up to gauge and global rotations, the solutions of these equations are of the form

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & a_2 & & \\ & & . & \\ & & & a_{N_f} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \tag{1}$$

for $N_f < N_c$ and for $N_f \geq N_c$ they are of the form

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & a_2 & & \\ & & . & \\ & & & a_{N_c} \\ & & & \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \tag{2}$$

where, using gauge transformations, the sign of any a_i can be flipped. For generic a_i these expectation values break $SP(N_c)$ to $SP(N_c-N_f)$ by the Higgs mechanism for $N_f < N_c$ and completely break $SP(N_c)$ for $N_f \geq N_c$. The space of vacua can be given a gauge invariant description in terms of the expectation values of the "meson" superfield $M_{ij} = Q_{ic}Q_{jd}J^{cd}$. For $N_f \leq N_c$, the space of vacua $\langle Q \rangle$ correspond to arbitrary antisymmetric expectation values of the M_{ij}; these $N_f(2N_f-1)$ fields are the matter fields left massless after the Higgs mechanism. For $N_f > N_c$, the space of classical vacua $\langle Q \rangle$ correspond to antisymmetric

expectation values $\langle M_{ij}\rangle$ with $\mathrm{rank}(\langle M\rangle) \leq 2N_c$. This classical constraint can be written as

$$\epsilon^{i_1 \ldots i_{2N_f}} M_{i_1 i_2} M_{i_3 i_4} \cdots M_{i_{2N_c+1} i_{2N_c+2}} = 0. \tag{3}$$

The $N_f(2N_f-1)$ fields M subject to this constraint are the light fields in the classical theory, the $4N_fN_c - N_c(2N_c+1)$ fields left massless after the Higgs mechanism [1]. Note that the space of $\langle M\rangle$ satisfying the classical constraint is singular on submanifolds with $\mathrm{rank}(\langle M\rangle) \leq 2(N_c-1)$. The classical interpretation of this singularity is that some of the $SP(N_c)$ gauge group is unbroken and hence there are additional massless W bosons on these submanifolds.

The above classical vacuum degeneracy can be lifted by quantum effects. In the low energy theory this is represented by a dynamically generated superpotential for the light meson fields M. The form of a dynamically generated superpotential is restricted by holomorphy and the symmetries. The quantum theory has an anomaly free $SU(2N_f) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry with the fields Q in the $(2N_f)_{1-\frac{N_c+1}{N_f}}$ representation. Holomorphy and these symmetries determine that any dynamically generated superpotential must be of the form

$$W = A_{N_c,N_f} \Big(\frac{\Lambda_{N_c,N_f}^{3(N_c+1)-N_f}}{\mathrm{Pf}\ M}\Big)^{1/(N_c+1-N_f)}, \tag{4}$$

where A_{N_c,N_f} are constants and Λ_{N_c,N_f} is the dynamically generated scale. Because of the constraint (3), this superpotential does not make sense for $N_f \geq N_c+1$. Therefore, the vacuum degeneracy is not lifted for $N_f \geq N_c+1$; for this range of N_f there is a quantum moduli space of vacua.

Integrating the one loop beta function, the running $SP(N_c)$ coupling is related to the scale Λ_{N_c,N_f} by $e^{-8\pi^2 g^{-2}(E)+i\theta} = (\Lambda_{N_c,N_f}/E)^{3(N_c+1)-N_f}$. Consider a theory with $N_f \leq N_c$ in the limit of large a_{N_f} in (1), breaking $SP(N_c)$ with N_f flavors to $SP(N_c-1)$ with N_f-1 light flavors at the scale a_{N_f}. We use the $\overline{DR}$ scheme [14] in which the scale Λ_{N_c-1,N_f-1} of the low energy theory is related to that of the high energy theory by matching the running coupling at the scale set by the masses of the massive charged $SP(N_c)$ W bosons: $\Lambda_{N_c-1,N_f-1}^{3N_c-(N_f-1)} = 2a_{N_f}^{-2}\Lambda_{N_c,N_f}^{3(N_c+1)-N_f}$. The low energy theory has $\mathrm{Pf}\ \widehat{M} = a_{N_f}^{-2}\mathrm{Pf}\ M$, where $\mathrm{Pf}\ \widehat{M}$ runs over the remaining light fields. In order for the superpotential (4) to properly

[1] There are no "baryons" in $SP(N_c)$; because the invariant tensor $\epsilon^{c_1 \cdots c_{2N_c}}$ breaks up into sums of products of the J^{cd}, baryons break up into mesons.

reproduce that of the low energy theory, the constants A_{N_c,N_f} must satisfy $A_{N_c,N_f} = 2^{N_f/(N_c+1-N_f)} A_{N_c-N_f,0}$.

Consider starting with the theory with $N_f \leq N_c$ and giving the N_f-th flavor a mass by adding a term $W_{tree} = mM_{2N_f-1,2N_f}$. The low energy theory is $SP(N_c)$ with N_f-1 flavors with a scale related to that of the high energy theory by matching the running coupling at the scale m: $\Lambda_{N_c,N_f-1}^{3(N_c+1)-(N_f-1)} = m\Lambda_{N_c,N_f}^{3(N_c+1)-N_f}$. Adding W_{tree} to (4) and integrating out the massive fields, the superpotential (4) of the low energy theory is obtained provided the A_{N_c,N_f} satisfy $(A_{N_c,N_f}/(N_c+1-N_f))^{N_c+1-N_f} = (A_{N_c,0}/(N_c+1))^{N_c+1}$. Combined with the condition found in the previous paragraph, this yields $A_{N_c,N_f} = (N_c+1-N_f)\omega_{N_c+1-N_f}(2^{N_c-1}A_{1,1})^{1/(N_c+1-N_f)}$, where $\omega_{N_c+1-N_f}$ is an N_c+1-N_f-th root of unity. As discussed in [3], an instanton yields $A_{1,1} \neq 0$; as obtained in [14], $A_{1,1} = 1$ in the $\overline{DR}$ scheme. The dynamically generated superpotentials for $N_f \leq N_c$ are therefore

$$W = (N_c+1-N_f)\omega_{N_c+1-N_f}\Big(\frac{2^{N_c-1}\Lambda_{N_c,N_f}^{3(N_c+1)-N_f}}{\text{Pf } M}\Big)^{1/(N_c+1-N_f)}. \tag{5}$$

For $N_f = N_c$ the gauge group is completely broken for Pf $\langle M\rangle \neq 0$ and (5) is generated by an instanton in the broken $SP(N_c)$. For $N_f < N_c$, (5) is associated with gaugino condensation in the unbroken $SP(N_c-N_f)$: as in [3,15,16], $W = (N_c+1-N_f)S_{N_c-N_f}$, where $S = -\frac{1}{32\pi^2}W_\alpha^2$. The result (5) implies that there is gaugino condensation in $N=1$ SUSY $SP(N_c)$ Yang- Mills theory, with $\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\langle\lambda\lambda\rangle = 2\cdot 2^{-2/(N_c+1)}\omega_{N_c+1}\Lambda_{N_c,0}^3$ (see also [14]).

The superpotential (5) reveals that for $N_f \leq N_c$ the classical vacua are all lifted; the quantum theory has no vacuum at all. Adding mass terms $W_{tree} = \frac{1}{2}m^{ij}M_{ij}$ to (5) gives a theory with N_c+1 supersymmetric vacua

$$\langle M_{ij}\rangle = \omega_{N_c+1}\left(2^{N_c-1}\text{Pf } m\Lambda_{N_c,N_f}^{3(N_c+1)-N_f}\right)^{1/(N_c+1)}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)_{ij}^{-1}. \tag{6}$$

Consider the theory with $N_f = N_c+1$. As discussed above, $W_{dyn} = 0$ in this case. Giving the matter fields masses, their expectation values must satisfy (6). This implies that the expectation values satisfy

$$\text{Pf } M = 2^{N_c-1}\Lambda_{N_c,N_c+1}^{2(N_c+1)} \qquad \text{for} \qquad N_f = N_c+1. \tag{7}$$

Because this is independent of the masses m^{ij}, we can take the masses to zero and the constraint (7) remains as a quantum deformation of the classical constraint (3) in the

massless theory. The quantum correction is due to an instanton. This is similar to $SU(N_c)$ with $N_f = N_c$ fundamental flavors [7].

The classical moduli space of vacua satisfying (3) for $N_f = N_c + 1$ had singular submanifolds, corresponding to W bosons which classically become massless. These singular submanifolds are not on the quantum moduli space of vacua satisfying (7). The quantum moduli space of vacua is smooth; there are no additional massless fields anywhere on the moduli space.

The $\langle M \rangle$ expectation values satisfying (7) break the $SU(2N_f) \times U(1)_R$ chiral symmetry to $SP(N_f) \times U(1)_R$. We should check the 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions for this unbroken symmetry. The classical spectrum has fermions in the $N_c(2N_c + 1) \times (1)_1$ and the $2N_c \times (2N_f)_{-1}$. The massless spectrum in the quantum theory are the fluctuations of M around a VEV satisfying (7); the fermions are in the $(N_f(2N_f - 1) - 1)_{-1}$. The anomalies do indeed match; with both the classical and the quantum spectrum we find: $SP(N_f)^2 U(1)_R$: $-2N_c d^{(2)}(2N_f) = -d^{(2)}(N_f(2N_f - 1) - 1)$, $U(1)_R$: $-N_c(2N_c + 3)$, $U(1)_R^3$: $-N_c(2N_c + 3)$, where $d^{(2)}$ is the quadratic $SP(N_f)$ Casimir.

Turning on a mass for the $N_c + 1$-th flavor, $W = m M_{2N_f - 1, 2N_f}$ which, integrating out the massive fields subject to (7), yields the superpotential (5) in the low energy $N_f = N_c$ theory.

We now consider $N_f = N_c + 2$. Giving the matter masses, using (6), and taking the masses to zero in various limits, we find that we can explore the entire moduli space of classical vacua $\langle M \rangle$ satisfying (3). The classical effective theory has $N_c(2N_c + 7)$ light fields, corresponding to the M satisfying this constraint. In the quantum theory, the light fields in the low energy theory are all $N_c(2N_c + 7) + 6$ possible antisymmetric M with a superpotential

$$W = -\frac{\text{Pf } M}{2^{N_c - 1} \Lambda_{N_c, N_c+2}^{2N_c+1}} \qquad \text{for} \qquad N_f = N_c + 2. \tag{8}$$

The classical constraint (3) arises as the equations of motion of this superpotential. For $\text{rank}(\langle M \rangle) = 2N_c$, (8) gives masses to the 6 "quantum" components of M and there are $N_c(2N_c + 7)$ fields remaining massless, as expected classically. This is similar to $SU(N_c)$ with $N_f = N_c + 1$ [7].

Unlike the $N_f = N_c + 1$ case considered above, the vacuum can be on the singular submanifolds with $\text{rank}(\langle M \rangle) \leq 2(N_c - 1)$. The physics of the singularity is that the additional "quantum" components of M become massless on these submanifolds. In particular, at the origin $\langle M \rangle = 0$, all $(N_c + 2)(2N_c + 3)$ components of M are massless. At the origin

the $SU(2N_f) \times U(1)_R$ chiral symmetry is unbroken and we can check the 't Hooft anomaly matching with this quantum massless spectrum. They are indeed satisfied; with both the classical and the quantum spectrum we find: $SU(2N_f)^3 : 2N_c d^{(3)}(2N_f); SU(2N_f)^2 U(1)_R : -2N_c(\frac{N_c+1}{N_c+2}) d^{(2)}(2N_f); U(1)_R : -N_c(2N_c+3); U(1)_R^3 : \frac{-N_c^3(2N_c+3)}{(N_c+2)^2}$, where $d^{(2)}$ and $d^{(3)}$ are the quadratic and cubic $SU(2N_f)$ Casimirs. Note that at $M_{ij} = 0$ there is confinement without chiral symmetry breaking.

Adding $W_{tree} = m M_{2N_f-1,2N_f}$ to (8) and integrating out the massive fields yields the constraint (7) in the low energy $N_f = N_c + 1$ theory as an equation of motion.

For $N_f > N_c + 2$ massive flavors, a superpotential which properly reproduces the expectation values (6) upon integrating out the massive matter is

$$W = -(N_f - N_c - 1)\omega_{N_f-N_c-1}\Big(\frac{\text{Pf } M}{2^{N_c-1}\Lambda_{N_c,N_f}^{3(N_c+1)-N_f}}\Big)^{1/(N_f-N_c-1)} + \tfrac{1}{2} m^{ij} M_{ij}, \quad (9)$$

the continuation of (5) to these N_f. This superpotential properly describes the theory only for non-zero Pf m. For $N_f - N_c - 1 > 1$ it has a branch point at $M = 0$ indicating additional physics.

We now consider the theories with $N_f > N_c + 2$ massless flavors. As discussed in [8], the physics at $\langle M \rangle = 0$ is a non-Abelian Coulomb phase. For $N_f \geq 3(N_c+1)$ the theory is not asymptotically free and thus the infrared theory is free. For $3(N_c+1) > N_f > \frac{3}{2}(N_c+1)$ there is a non-trivial fixed point of the renormalization group with massless $SP(N_c)$ glue and quarks Q_f in an interacting non-Abelian Coulomb phase. This phase can be given a dual description in terms of an $SP(N_f - N_c - 2)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors of matter q^i in the fundamental ($i = 1 \ldots 2N_f$), gauge singlets M_{ij} ($= -M_{ji}$), and a superpotential[2]

$$W = \frac{1}{4\mu} M_{ij} q_c^i q_d^j J^{cd}. \quad (10)$$

As explained in [9], the scale Λ of the electric theory, the scale $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ of the magnetic theory and the scale μ in (10) are related by

$$\Lambda_{N_c,N_f}^{3(N_c+1)-N_f} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{N_f-N_c-2,N_f}^{3(N_f-N_c-1)-N_f} = C(-1)^{N_f-N_c-1} \mu^{N_f}, \quad (11)$$

where the constant C will be determined below. As the electric theory gets stronger the magnetic theory gets weaker and vice versa. For $N_f \leq \frac{3}{2}(N_c+1)$, the electric description

[2] For $SP(1) \cong SU(2)$ there is another dual description, discussed in [8], in terms of an $SU(N_f - 2)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors.

of the Coulomb phase is at very strong coupling and breaks down. In this range the magnetic theory is not asymptotically free and is thus free in the infrared, providing a free description of what looked like very strong coupling in the electric description.

Taking M to transform as in the electric description, where $M_{ij} = Q_i Q_j$, the theory (10) has a global $SU(2N_f) \times U(1)_R$ flavor symmetry with M in the $(N_f(2N_f-1))_{2(1-\frac{N_c+1}{N_f})}$ and q in the $(\overline{2N_f})_{\frac{N_c+1}{N_f}}$. Note that these symmetries are anomaly free in the dual theory. At $\langle M \rangle = 0$ the global $SU(2N_f) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry is unbroken and the 't Hooft anomalies with the electric spectrum must match those of the magnetic spectrum, the $SP(N_f - N_c - 2)$ glue with M and q matter. The conditions are indeed satisfied; both spectra give: $SU(2N_f)^3 : 2N_c d^{(3)}(2N_f); SU(2N_f)^2 U(1)_R : -2N_c(\frac{N_c+1}{N_f}) d^{(2)}(2N_f); U(1)_R : -N_c(2N_c + 3); U(1)_R^3 : N_c(2N_c+1) - \frac{4N_c(N_c+1)^3}{N_f^2}$.

The classical equations of motion of (10) and the D-terms give $\langle q^i \rangle = 0$, $\langle M_{ij} \rangle$ arbitrary. We will see that the classical condition of the electric theory that $\mathrm{rank}(\langle M \rangle) \leq 2N_c$, which is a classical consequence of $M_{ij} = Q_i Q_j$ in the electric theory, will be recovered by strong coupling effects in the dual theory. Consider, for example, giving $M_{2N_f-1,2N_f}$ a large expectation value. The corresponding large expectation values of Q_{2N_f-1} and Q_{2N_f} in (2) break the electric $SP(N_c)$ theory with N_f flavors down to a low energy $SP(N_c-1)$ theory with $N_f - 1$ flavors and scale $\Lambda_{N_c-1,N_f-1}^{3N_c-(N_f-1)} = 2M_{2N_f-1,2N_f}^{-1} \Lambda_{N_c,N_f}^{3(N_c+1)-N_f}$. The low energy electric theory is weaker. In the dual theory the large $\langle M_{2N_f-1,2N_f} \rangle$ gives a large mass to q^{2N_f-1} and q^{2N_f}. The low energy dual theory is an $SP(N_f - N_c - 2)$ theory with $N_f - 1$ flavors of q^i, scale $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{N_f-N_c-2,N_f-1}^{3(N_f-N_c-1)-(N_f-1)} = (2\mu)^{-1} M_{2N_f-1,2N_f} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{N_f-N_c-2,N_f}^{3(N_f-N_c-1)-N_f}$ and the superpotential (10). The low energy dual theory is at stronger coupling and is the dual of the low energy electric theory. The relation (11) is preserved in the low energy theory.

Giving an expectation value to $\mathrm{rank}(\langle M \rangle)$ eigenvalues of M, the low energy dual theory is an $SP(N_f - N_c - 2)$ theory with $N_f - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{rank}(\langle M \rangle)$ flavors. We have seen above that $SP(N_c)$ with $N_f \geq N_c + 2$ has a vacuum at the origin, $\langle Q \rangle = 0$, while $SP(N_c)$ with $N_f \leq N_c + 1$ does not. Similarly, in the dual theory, for $N_f - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{rank}(\langle M \rangle) \leq N_f - N_c - 1$, there is no vacuum at $\langle q \rangle = 0$ due to strong coupling effects. Because the M equation of motion requires the vacuum to be at $\langle q \rangle = 0$, there is no supersymmetric vacuum for $\mathrm{rank}(\langle M \rangle) \geq 2(N_c+1)$. This constraint on $\langle M \rangle$ was an obvious classical constraint in the electric theory. In the magnetic theory it is recovered from strong coupling dynamics and the equations of motion.

Consider giving the N_f-th flavor a mass. The low energy electric theory is $SP(N_c)$ with N_f-1 flavors and scale $\Lambda_{N_c,N_f-1}^{3(N_c+1)-(N_f-1)} = m\Lambda_{N_c,N_f}^{3(N_c+1)-N_f}$. This low energy theory is stronger for large m. Adding $W_{tree} = mM_{2N_f-1,2N_f}$ in the dual theory (10), the $M_{2N_f-1,2N_f}$ equations of motion give $\langle q^{2N_f-1}q^{2N_f}\rangle = -2\mu m$, which breaks the dual $SP(N_f-N_c-2)$ theory to $SP(N_f-N_c-3)$. Using the $M_{\hat{i},2N_f-1}$ and the $M_{\hat{i},2N_f}$ equations of motion, where $\hat{i} = 1\ldots 2(N_f-1)$, $\langle q^{\hat{i}}q^{2N_f-1}\rangle = \langle q^{\hat{i}}q^{2N_f}\rangle = 0$. Using also the q^{2N_f-1} and the q^{2N_f} equations of motion, the light fields in the low energy $SP(N_f-N_c-3)$ theory are the singlets $\widehat{M}_{\hat{i},\hat{j}}$ and the N_f-1 flavors of fundamentals $\widehat{q}^{\hat{i}}$ with a superpotential of the form (10). Using our matching relations for the Higgs mechanism in the dual theory, the scale of the low energy dual theory is related to that of the high energy theory by $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{N_f-N_c-3,N_f-1}^{3(N_f-N_c-2)-(N_f-1)} = -(m\mu)^{-1}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{N_f-N_c-2,N_f}^{3(N_f-N_c-1)-N_f}$. The low energy dual theory is weaker for large m. The relation (11) is preserved in the low energy theory. The low energy dual theory is the dual of low energy electric theory.

Turning on masses $W_{tree} = \frac{1}{2}m^{ij}M_{ij}$ with $\mathrm{rank}(m^{ij}) = 2r$ gives a low energy electric $SP(N_c)$ theory with N_f-r flavors. The low energy magnetic theory is an $SP(N_f-r-N_c-2)$ gauge theory with N_f-r flavors. For $N_f-r-N_c-2=0$, the magnetic gauge group is completely broken and there is a contribution to the superpotential from instantons in the broken magnetic gauge group. This occurs when the low energy electric theory has $N_f = N_c+2$. Consider starting from the electric theory with $N_f = N_c+3$ and turning on $W_{tree} = mM_{2N_f-1,2N_f}$ to go to the low energy theory with $\widehat{N}_f = N_c+2$. In the dual theory the addition of W_{tree} gives $\langle q^{2N_f-1}q^{2N_f}\rangle = -2\mu m$ and, giving masses to the remaining $q^{\hat{i}}$ with the $\langle \widehat{M}^{\hat{i}\hat{j}}\rangle$ of the low energy theory ($\hat{i} = 1\ldots 2(N_c+2)$), an instanton in the broken magnetic $SP(1)$ gauge group produces a low energy superpotential

$$W = \frac{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1,N_c+3}^{6-(N_c+3)}\mathrm{Pf}\ (\frac{1}{2\mu}\widehat{M})}{q^{2N_f-1}q^{2N_f}} = -\frac{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1,N_c+3}^{6-(N_c+3)}\mathrm{Pf}\ \widehat{M}}{(2\mu)^{N_c+3}m}. \tag{12}$$

The superpotential (12) is precisely the superpotential in (8) provided the constant appearing in (11) is given by $C=16$. We can thus obtain all of our results for $N_f \leq N_c+2$ from the dual magnetic description of the theories with $N_f \geq N_c+3$ by flowing down with added mass terms.

Another way to analyze the theory with added mass terms is to consider the massless theory for generic values of M. The dual quarks acquire a mass $\frac{1}{2\mu}M$ and the low energy

magnetic theory is a pure $SP(N_f - N_c - 2)$ Yang-Mills theory with scale $\widetilde{\Lambda}_L^{3(N_f-N_c-1)} = (2\mu)^{-N_f}\widetilde{\Lambda}^{3(N_f-N_c-1)-N_f}_{N_f-N_c-2,N_f}\,\mathrm{Pf}\ M$. Gluino condensation in this theory leads to

$$\begin{aligned} W &= (N_f - N_c - 1)2\cdot 2^{-2/(N_f-N_c-1)}\widetilde{\Lambda}_L^3 \\ &= (N_c + 1 - N_f)\omega_{N_c+1-N_f}\left(\frac{2^{N_c-1}\Lambda^{3(N_c+1)-N_f}_{N_c,N_f}}{\mathrm{Pf}\ M}\right)^{1/(N_c+1-N_f)}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where we used the previously found expression for gaugino condensation and (11) with $C = 16$. Adding the mass terms, this superpotential is the superpotential (9) which, upon adding masses, yields the correct $\langle M\rangle$ in (6) by its equations of motion.

We have seen that $SP(N_c)$ theories with matter in the fundamental exhibit a variety of interesting phenomena which appear to be rather generic. In particular, we have provided evidence for the $N = 1$ dual magnetic description [8] which exchanges strong coupling in one theory with weak coupling in another. All of our results can be obtained from this duality. A deeper understanding of duality remains to be found; we hope that these examples will be useful in this regard.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank N. Seiberg for useful discussions and for helpful comments on the presentation. This work was supported in part by DOE grant #DE-FG05-90ER40559 and by a Canadian 1967 Science fellowship.

References

[1] N. Seiberg, hep-ph/9309335, Phys. Lett. 318B (1993) 469

[2] N. Seiberg, The Power of Holomorphy – Exact Results in 4D SUSY Field Theories. To appear in the Proc. of PASCOS 94. hep-th/9408013, RU-94-64, IASSNS-HEP-94/57

[3] I. Affleck, M. Dine and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B241 (1984) 493; Nucl. Phys. B256 (1985) 557

[4] M.A. Shifman and A. I. Vainshtein, Nucl. Phys. B277 (1986) 456; Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 571

[5] V.A. Novikov, M.A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B223 (1983) 445; Nucl. Phys. B260 (1985) 157; Nucl. Phys. B229 (1983) 381

[6] D. Amati, K. Konishi, Y. Meurice, G.C. Rossi and G. Veneziano, Phys. Rep. 162 (1988) 169 and references therein

[7] N. Seiberg, hep-th/9402044, Phys. Rev. D49 (1994) 6857

[8] N. Seiberg, hep-th/9411149 , Nucl. Phys. B435 (1995) 129

[9] K. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, hep-th/9503179, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B

[10] C. Montonen and D. Olive, Phys. Lett. 72B (1977) 117

[11] H. Osborn, Phys. Lett. 83B (1979) 321; A. Sen, hep-th/9402032, Phys. Lett. 329B (1994) 217; C. Vafa and E. Witten, hep-th/9408074, Nucl. Phys. B432 (1994) 3

[12] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, hep-th/9407087, Nucl. Phys. B426 (1994) 19; hep-th/9408099, Nucl. Phys. B431 (1994) 484

[13] E. Witten, Phys. Lett. 117B (1982) 324

[14] D. Finnell and P. Pouliot, RU-95-14, SLAC-PUB-95-6768, hep-th/9503115

[15] M.A. Shifman and A. I. Vainshtein, Nucl. Phys. B296 (1988) 445; A. Yu. Morozov, M.A. Olshanetsky and M.A. Shifman, Nucl. Phys. B304 (1988) 291

[16] K. Intriligator, R.G. Leigh and N. Seiberg, hep-th/9403198, Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 1092; K. Intriligator, hep-th/9407106, Phys. Lett. 336B (1994) 409